





DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,880	02/12/2001	Maria Alexandra Glucksmann	35800/208932 (5800-206)	9625
826 7	590 02/12/2003			
ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000			EXAMINER	
			LANDSMAN, ROBERT S	
CHARLOTTE	CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/781,880	GLUCKSMANN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert Landsman	1647			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the c ver sheet with the c rrespondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	Januarv 2003 .				
,		nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition						
4)⊠ Cla	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-11 and 13-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· _	im(s) is/are rejected.					
·	im(s) is/are objected to.					
8)∐ Cla Application ا	im(s) <u>1-7 and 12</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
	•	ar.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.[	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2.[	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice of I	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/781,880

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## 1. Formal Matters

A. Claims 1-23 are pending in the application and were subject to restriction in Paper No. 9, mailed 9/24/02. In Paper No. 11, filed 1/22/03, Applicants elected Group I, claims 1-7 and 12. However, the Examiner inadvertently did not restrict any of the Groups to individual sequences (SEQ ID NO:1-9). Therefore, Group I has been re-restricted as follows. Applicants are required to elect one proteins sequence (SEQ ID NO:2, 5 or 8) and the corresponding polynucleotide sequences (1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9) to be searched. The Examiner apologizes for this omission.

## 2. Election/Restriction

- A. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7 and 12, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:1 and 3, host cells and a method of producing a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1.
  - II. Claims 1-7 and 12, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:4 and 6, host cells and a method of producing a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:5, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1
  - III. Claims 1-7 and 12, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO:7 and 9, host cells and a method of producing a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:8, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1
- B. The inventions are distinct, each from each other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I-III are independent and distinct, each from each other, because they are products which possess characteristic differences in structure and function and each has an independent utility that is distinct for each invention which cannot be exchanged.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter as defined by MPEP § 808.02, the Examiner has *prima facie* shown a serious burden of search (see MPEP § 803). Therefore, an initial requirement of restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1647

C. A telephone call was made to Andrew Scheinman on February 07,2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17 (h).

## Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (703) 306-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time) and alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Fax draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert Landsman, Ph.D. Patent Examiner Group 1600 February 11, 2003

Loett